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Abstract. The importance of DNA sequence design for reliable DNA computing is well recognized. In this paper, we describe a DNA sequence optimization system NACST/Seq that is based on a multiobjective genetic algorithm. It uses the concept of Pareto optimization to reflect many realistic characteristics of DNA sequences in real bio-chemical experiments flexibly. This feature allows to recommend multiple candidate sets as well as to generate the DNA sequences, which fit better to a specific DNA computing algorithm. We also describe DNA sequence analyzer that can examine and visualize the properties of given DNA sequences. The NACST/Seq system is ready for demonstration at the DNA8 meeting.

1 Introduction

Using the bio-molecules as basic computing or storage media, DNA computing wins the massive parallelism and some useful features such as the self-assembly. However, the chemical characteristics of materials involve some drawbacks in computing process.

Deaton and Garzon, for example, identified various types of errors those lead to false positives in Adleman’s original techniques [2, 3]. To overcome these drawbacks, they also gave a theoretical bound on the size of problems that can be solved reliably [4]. They introduced a new measure of hybridization likelihood based on Hamming distance and proposed a theory of error-preventing codes for DNA computing [5]. Since then, many researchers have proposed various algorithms and methods for the reliable DNA sequence design. These methods can be summarized into two different approaches.

One is the deterministic approach. Marathe et al. [6] proposed a dynamic programming approach based on Hamming distance and free energy. Frutos et al. [7] proposed a template-map strategy to select a huge number of dissimilar sequences. Hartemink et al. [8] implemented the program “SCAN” to generate sequences for the programmed mutagenesis using an exhaustive search method.
Feldkamp et al. also proposed another sequence construction system “DNASequenceGenerator” [9] using a directed graph.

A second approach to sequence design is to use evolutionary algorithms. Arita et al. [10] developed a DNA sequence design system using a genetic algorithm and a random generate-and-test algorithm. Tanaka et al. [11] listed up some useful sequence fitness criteria and then generated the sequence using simulated annealing technique with these criteria. Ruben et al. developed “PUNCH” [13] that employed a kind of genetic algorithm for the sequence optimization.

The review above allows us to consider DNA sequence design as a numerical optimization problem given well-defined fitness measures. Based on the previous work [14, 12], we formulate the DNA sequence design as a multiobjective optimization problem that is then solved by a genetic algorithm. This is implemented as a component (NACST/Seq) in the DNA computing simulation system, NACST (Nucleic Acid Computing Simulation Toolkit). NACST/Seq is especially useful in its ability to generate reliable codes and to provide the user with the flexibility of choosing optimal codes. The latter feature is attributed to the Pareto optimal set of candidate solutions produced by the multiobjective evolutionary algorithm. In addition, for the analysis and visualization of DNA sequence properties, we also developed NACST/Report, which is another component of NACST.

Section 2 describes the algorithm of NACST/Seq. Section 3 presents the software architecture of NACST. The sequence generation examples are shown in Section 4. And future work is discussed in Section 5.

2 Sequence Design by Multiobjective Evolutionary Optimization

As mentioned before, DNA sequence design can be considered as a numerical optimization problem. Moreover, it involves simultaneous optimization of multiple objectives. Most of the current sequence generation systems use the classical multiobjective optimization method (e.g. objective weighting) or single objective optimization method. But in many cases, there may not exist such a solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Similarity</td>
<td>similarity between two sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-measure</td>
<td>degree of unexpected hybridization between two sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'-end</td>
<td>&quot;H-measure&quot; in 3'-end of a sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC Ratio</td>
<td>degree of difference with target G, C portion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity</td>
<td>degree of successive occurrence of the same base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hairpin</td>
<td>likelihood of forming secondary structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>degree of difference with target melting temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as the best with respect to all objectives involved. Therefore, it may be useful to recommend a set of solutions in which a solution is superior to the rest of all in one or more objectives by a multiobjective optimization algorithm. The fitness terms for sequence optimization are described in Table 1. These terms are originally summarized by Tanaka [11], we refine these fitness measures as more detailed numerical formulae for NACST/Seq [12]. With these seven objectives, the sequence optimization can be written formally as follows:

\[ A = \{A, C, G, T\}, \quad A' \text{ denotes all possible sequences}, \]

\[ A (\subset A') \text{ means the generated sequence pool,} \]

\[ \mathbf{F} \in A, \quad f_i \in \{f_{GC\_ratio}, f_{TM}, f_{Hairpin}, f_{ft\_end}, \]

\[ f_{Cont\_inuity}, f_{NM\_measures}, f_{Similarity}\}, \]

\[ \text{minimize } F(\mathbf{F}) = (f_1(\mathbf{F}), f_2(\mathbf{F}), \ldots, f_n(\mathbf{F})). \]  

(1)

NACST/Seq finds many sequence pools \( A \), which satisfy that \( F(A), F(A') \) \( \exists i \)

\( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) such that \( f_i(A) < f_i(A') \).

Fig. 1 shows a multiobjective genetic algorithm implemented in NACST/Seq.

---

**Fig. 1.** Multiobjective genetic algorithm implemented in NACST/Seq.
The optimization algorithm is based on NSGA (nondominated sorting genetic algorithm) [15] originally suggested by Goldberg [16]. The original NSGA varies from simple genetic algorithms only in the selection operator, but we customized additionally the crossover and mutation operators to reflect the modified population architecture of NACST/Seq. In simple genetic algorithms, a population represents the feasible space in which each individual usually expressed through the bit string. But in NACST/Seq, each individual indicates a pool of sequences and it is indispensable to apply the evolutionary operators to each sequence for the evolution of whole population. Therefore, the crossover and mutation operators are divided into two steps - one is for individual level operation (step 1), the other belongs to sequence level (step 2). To improve the performance, we employ the elite strategy as shown in the last step in Fig. 1 and remove the sharing parameter used original nondominated sorting procedure by making the selection operator to work based on the rank of Pareto front. More detailed explanations can be found in [17].

3 NACST in Action

NACST consists of four independent components: NACST/Data, NACST/Seq, NACST/Report and NACST/Sim. NACST/Data allows the user to import and export the generated sequences and to edit functionality. NACST/Report shows the statistical figures of the sequences and plots graphs according to these data. NACST/Sim accomplishes DNA computing in silico.

In this paper, we focus on NACST/Seq with a brief description of NACST/Report. Before introducing NACST/Seq, we illustrate its design requirements. First, we require the fitness measures to be subdivided into fitness terms fine enough to consider the various characteristics of DNA sequences. Second, the sequence generation algorithm should be adaptable to various combinations of fitness. Third, users should be able to choose and combine objectives flexibly. Finally, the system should be able to show the generation result with the information that is sufficient to help users make decisions.

3.1 NACST/Seq

The sequence generation part of NACST, namely NACST/Seq, is implemented using C++ language in Linux platform and adopts a plug-in architecture that makes it possible to develop each fitness plug-in separately and to assure a future extension. In other words, we can add newly defined fitness plug-ins to NACST/Seq causing no alteration of pre-developed program texts and apply these plug-ins to the sequence generation process in run-time without the whole recompilation of the system.

The sequence generation steps are shown in Fig. 2. The first step is to select the generation option. In this step, the user can choose one option among “generate new sequences”, “generate sequences and add those to an existing sequence pool” and “add a sequence to a pool manually”. The first option means
Fig. 2. Sequence generation process in NACST/Seq.

the generation of new sequences and new pools, the second option in this step implies not a simple generation of sequence pools but a consideration of existing sequences in the generation of new sequences, and the last means that the user can add the existing sequence to a pool. The sequence structure, normal and hairpin, can be selected in the second option window. The option “normal” prevents the generation of self-complementary sequences, while the “hairpin” option acts vice versa. Because some DNA computing procedure needs to form the secondary structure intentionally [18]. Then, the general sequence option window appears. In this window, the number of sequences and the length of each sequence are adjusted. Next, the fitness option window provides the functionality of the combining and weighting the objectives. If the selected fitness needs additional arguments, the user can call up the sub-windows for tuning these arguments. For example, “Melting Temperature” needs the choice of the user between GC ratio and NN (nearest neighbor) [19] methods. In addition, the oligo and Na+ concentration should be offered, if the user select the NN method. In this step, we can decide abundant properties of the generated sequences. Finally, the options for the genetic algorithm are determined. These include the
3.2 NACST/Report

Another application of NACST is the analysis of sequence pools. Fig. 3 displays the analysis functions of NACST/Report.

![Image of NACST/Report functions](image)

**Fig. 3.** Functions in NACST/Report.

After the generation process described in the previous section, the results are saved in a file. Then, NACST/Report loads this results (Fig. 3-1). In fact, NACST/Report can load any sequence pool saved in its format and analyzes various aspects of the loaded sequence pools (Figs. 3-2, 3-3, 3-4). In window 2 (Fig. 3-2), one can examine all sequence pools by the comparison of those fitness value measured through each objective used in optimization procedure. Window 3 (Fig. 3-3) provides the graphical representation of the superiority of fitness value in each sequence between two selected pools. At last, one can investigate the
properties of a pool in window 4 (Fig. 3-4). For instance, it can highlight the position of a specific sub-sequence in a pool, find all complementary sub-sequences of user’s input sequence, and mark all successive occurrence of the same base running over the threshold. These features can be put into practice, for example, predicting and analyzing the sequence properties in PCR experiments.

4 Working Examples

To demonstrate the working of the algorithm, we investigate some examples. First, we generate the vertex sequences for the traveling salesman problem (refer to [12]) using all objectives. The genetic algorithm parameters used are: the population size is 200, the generation number is 1000, the crossover rates for the steps 1 and 2 are 0.97, and the mutation rate is 0.3.

![Fig. 4. Fitness values over generation.](image1.png)  ![Fig. 5. Continuity vs. H-measure.](image2.png)

Fig. 4 shows the evolution of fitness values. As generation goes on, the algorithm finds the more suitable individuals for each objective. Especially, for hairpin, melting temperature, and GC ratio objectives, the optimal individuals are found in early steps, i.e. each fitness is zero. But, with respect to the average value of all objectives, which is usually regarded as a measure in single objective optimization methods, NACST/Seq shows relatively weak optimizing power. As a cause of this phenomenon, we conjecture that there exist some conflicts between objectives. That is to say, since one objective has the trade-off with other objectives, an individual optimized for one objective lost the fitness of other objectives reducing the influence of optimization in the average value of objectives. To confirm this connection of objectives, we repeat the generation process with the selected objectives considered as conflicting ones.

Fig. 5 shows that there exist weak relations between continuity and H-measure, thus the algorithm works efficiently. While Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 depict other cases, the results confirm our expectation that there exist some conflicts
between objectives. Because similarity has heavy inverse relations to H-measure and 3'-end, NACST/Seq explores multiple solutions between these two objectives instead of needless searching global one. This fact implies that there exist some difficulties (e.g. biased optimization) with classical approaches to the problem.

**Table 2.** Fitness of the generated vertex sequences for TSP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>GC%</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>Continuity</th>
<th>Hairpin</th>
<th>H-measure</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>3'-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NACST/Seq</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7827</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2033</td>
<td>1982.5</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2107</td>
<td>1903.5</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11.6674</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2081</td>
<td>1948.5</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13.6196</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2160</td>
<td>1852.5</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24.5350</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>2658.5</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>24.7548</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2182</td>
<td>1683.0</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>26.2218</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1435</td>
<td>2549.0</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7.3607</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1848</td>
<td>2054.0</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.4267</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>1980.0</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12.8784</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>2021.5</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single-objective evolutionary algorithm with sum of fitness values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>GC%</th>
<th>Tm</th>
<th>Continuity</th>
<th>Hairpin</th>
<th>H-measure</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>3'-end</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.6080</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>2575.0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows the result of analysis in TSP vertex generation using window (2) in Fig. 3. NACST/Report can evaluate a sequence pool designed by other sequence generators or human experts. The values listed in the last row of Table 2 came from [12], we got those values with the single objective evolutionary algorithm using the sum of objectives. As shown in Table 2, NACST/Seq can find many alternative better sequence pools than that of the single objective optimization method.
5 Discussion

In this paper, we described the evolutionary sequence generator called NACST/Seq and NACST/Report, which were implemented as components of the DNA computing simulator, NACST (Nucleic Acid Computing Simulation Toolkit). We formulated sequence design as a multiobjective optimization problem and used a nondominated sorting procedure to generate the multiple candidate sequence pools. NACST/Seq can generate the promising DNA sequences and ensure that the user is able to choose more suitable sequences for the specific DNA experiments. NACST/Report provides the analysis and visualization of the sequence properties. This feature allows the user to investigate sequences in silico before real bio-chemical experiments.

The work in progress is to build a simulation system (NACST/Sim) of DNA computing that considers the thermodynamics of DNA sequences. We also plan to verify the practical usefulness of the sequences generated by NACST/Seq with real bio-chemical experiments.
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